	Case 1:23-cv-00416-ADA-CDB Docume	ent 5 Filed 04/05/23 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RANDEEP SINGH DHILLON, et al.,	No. 1:23-cv-00416-ADA-CDB	
12	Plaintiffs,		
13	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
14	WILLIAM EDWARDS, et al.,	(ECF No. 1)	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	On March 21, 2023, Plaintiffs filed a complaint in the Eastern District of California		
18	alleging subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1346(b)(1). (ECF No. 1 at 5.)		
19	The Court has a sua sponte duty to confirm that it has subject matter jurisdiction over the cases on		
20	its docket. See Allen v. Santa Clara Cnty. Corr. Peace Officers Ass'n, 400 F. Supp. 3d 998, 1001		
21	(E.D. Cal. 2019) (citing Ruhrgas AG v. Marathon Oil Co., 526 U.S. 574, 583 (1999)).		
22	It appears that Plaintiffs assert federal question jurisdiction by alleging violations of the		
23	Fourteenth Amendment. (See ECF No. 1 at 16–17, 20–21.) The Fourteenth Amendment,		
24	however, does not itself provide for a private right of action; Plaintiffs must point to an		
25	appropriate statute that does. See Azul-Pacifico, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles, 973 F.2d 704, 705		
26	(9th Cir. 1992); MetroPCS Inc. v. City and Cnty. of S.F., No. C02-3442 PJH, 2005 WL 1692631,		
27	at *2 (N.D. Cal. Jul. 15, 2005). If Plaintiffs intend to invoke 42 U.S.C. § 1983, they must allege		
28	state action, something that is not apparent on the face of the complaint as filed. See West v.		
		1	

	Case 1:23-cv-00416-ADA-CDB Document 5 Filed 04/05/23 Page 2 of 2	
1	Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988); Garnier v. O'Connor-Ratcliff, 41 F.4th 1158, 1169 (9th Cir.	
2	2022). If Plaintiffs intend to invoke a different statute, they must identify it as this responsibility	
3	is not one that the Court will assume.	
4	Accordingly, if Plaintiffs fail to file an amended complaint asserting the basis for subject	
5	matter jurisdiction in federal court <b>no later than fourteen (14) days</b> from the date of signature o	
6	this order, the Court will dismiss this action for lack of jurisdiction without further notice.	
7		
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: April 4, 2023	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		